

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,121	07/11/2003	Todd E. Kooken	LEEE 200320	3001	
7590 05/17/2005 FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP Seventh Floor 1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2579			EXAMINER WRIGHT, INGRID D		
					ART UNIT
			2835		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		192				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,121	KOOKEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ingrid Wright	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-11-	<u>2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-14 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-11-2003. 	4) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I, claims 1-10, directed to heat dissipation platform comprising a conductive plate having a plurality of parallel heat pipes located <u>between the surfaces</u> of said conductive plate.

Specie II, claims 11-14, directed to heat dissipation platform comprising a conductive plate having a plurality of parallel heat pipes located <u>on one of the surfaces</u> of said conductive plate.

During a telephone conversation with **Mr Jonathan Withrow**, **Reg No. 54548** on 5/10/05 a provisional election was made <u>without</u> traverse to prosecute the invention of Specie 1, claims 1-10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,121

Art Unit: 2835

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and /or use the invention.

As best understood, regarding claims 6, 7 and 8, a plurality of parallel heat pipes meet a limitation of the independent claim 1. Since the dependent claims 6, 7 and 8 must meet all the limitations of the independent claim 1, claims 6, 7 and 8 should meet the limitation of "parallel surfaces and a plurality of parallel heat pipes located between said surfaces as shown in Fig. 3 and 4. With respect to claims 6, 7 and 8, the limitation "parallel heat pipes that are mounted in grooves in said first surface," is not enabled or shown in the disclosed figures. Thus, a disclosure on how the plurality of heat pipes mounted in grooves in said first surface is missing.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As best understood, claims 9 and 10 recite the limitation, "said first section" in line 1 of claims 9 and 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claims 9 and 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,3,4,5,9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) (Fig. 2) in view of Patel (US PN 6163073).

With respect to claim 1, AAPA teaches (Fig. 2) a heat dissipation platform having output switches (Q1, Q2), said platform (34) comprising a conductive plate (36) with first and second generally parallel surfaces and said switches (Q1, Q2) being mounted on said first surface and closely spaced from each other in a given direction.

AAPA does not teach a plurality of parallel heat pipes located between said surfaces and extending in a given direction.

Patel teaches (Fig. 2) a heat dissipation platform comprising a plurality of parallel heat pipes (32,34,36,38,40) located between the surfaces of said platform and extending in a given direction (Column 2, Lines 22-25) for enhancing heat transfer.

Since the inventions of AAPA and of Patel are from the same field of endeavor (cooling) the purpose of the plurality of parallel heat pipes of Patel would be recognized in the invention of AAPA.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,121

Art Unit: 2835

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the cooling art at the time the invention was made to place the plurality of heat pipes as taught by Patel between the first and second generally parallel surfaces of AAPA, in order to provide more efficient heat transfer.

With respect to claim 2, AAPA teaches (Fig. 2) a heat sink (30, 32) of high heat conductivity material with a thin mounting plate (36) on said second surface and integral, parallel fins (38) protruding from said mounting plate (36) in a direction away from said second surface and extending in said given direction.

With respect to claims 3,4 AAPA teaches (Fig. 2) a fan (25) mounted on said platform to blow air toward said second surface.

With respect to claim 5, AAPA (Fig. 2) teaches a heat dissipation platform wherein one of said switches (Q1) is mounted at a first location on said first surface and a second of said switches (Q2) is mounted at a second location on said first surface and a first fan (40) blowing air toward said second surface at said first location except for a second fan blowing air toward said second surface at said second location. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the cooling art at the time the invention was made to add an additional fan, in order to improve heat transfer, since it has been held that a mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,121 Page 6

Art Unit: 2835

With respect to claims 9 and 10 Patel, as best understood, teaches (Fig. 2) parallel heat pipes (Column 2, Lines 22-25) adjacent the first surface.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Lieu (US PN 6827136 B2), Lee et al. (US PN 6745824 B2), Tadayon et al. (US PN 6877318 B2), Konstad (US PN 6407916 B1), Oikawa et al. (US PN 6778394 B2), Mok (US PN 6681840 B1), Ishikawa (6650540 B2), Conte (US PN 5355942), Chen (US2002/0084062 A1), and Chang (US 6621698 B2) show the general state of the art regarding heat sink, heat pipe, and conduction plate assembly configurations.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ingrid Wright whose telephone number is (571) 272-8392. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2800, ext 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,121

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IDW

A. Verle PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7